

## LOOKING TO RECIPROCITY

This Favored By Canadian Government

### THE AGRICULTURISTS AID

Not Quite Ready for Free Trade with Great Britain—This Would Mean the Imposition of a Direct Tax.

Ottawa, Dec. 21.—Of all the petitions which the Canadian farmers presented to the premier last week, that in favor of a wide measure of reciprocity with the United States is the one that most effectively has remained in the mind of the government. The reason is that the ministry believes it represents the opinion of all farmers, East and West. It is not believed that eastern farmers as a whole are really ready for direct taxation, which is inevitable if the government makes the rapid progress toward free trade with England which the big deputation asked. It is true that the Ontario grangers supported the resolutions which declared all Canadian farmers ready for direct taxation to meet the deficiencies in revenue caused by tariff reduction.

The executive council of the Canadian council of agriculture has come to close quarters with Sir Wilfrid Laurier again. First he met them with Sir Richard Cartwright, the minister of trade and commerce, to discuss their demands for government ownership of terminal elevators on the great lakes. Then with Mr. Sidney Fisher, minister of agriculture, he talked over their desire that the government establish abattoirs for the encouragement of the chilled meat industry. The discussion was full and candid. Sir Wilfrid makes no secret of his belief that the western demands are too radical for the East, which still is the most populous end of the dominion.

He is sure the inhabitants of Quebec and the farmers of rural Ontario are not prepared for direct taxation. As to reciprocity, he was able to report a fair measure of progress in exchanges with the United States. As to the increase of the tariff preference on British goods, until free trade with the mother land obtains in ten years, he will find it necessary to consult with the finance minister, who will return from the United States this week, but in any event it is doubtful if anything can be done so long as the difficulty of direct taxation looms in the path. The farmers are full of fight and are urging their case with skill.

### EXPLOSION IN BUFFALO CAUSES EXCITEMENT

Gas Ignites in Train Shed—Windows Broken, Passengers Shaken in Cars.

Buffalo, N. Y., Dec. 21.—An explosion similar in many respects to the one that occurred in New York on Monday shattered the windows of several cars and startled passengers on board trains in the New York Central station and occupants of buildings for blocks around shortly before 12 o'clock last night.

The explosion occurred at a point where the pipes that supply gas to parlor and sleeping cars branches in two directions and where a valve is located. The cause has not been ascertained. A flame shot up into the air 40 feet, igniting the trainshed. The Wolverine express eastbound from Chicago to New York was on a track near by. The boom of the explosion and the flash of flame caused intense excitement among the passengers.

Several of them were slightly off by flying glass, but no one was seriously hurt. J. Bonner of Rochester had his fingers cut. R. S. Miller of Cleveland and his coat and suit were as cleanly as if cut by scissors, but he was uninjured. Henry Breech and his wife, bound from their former home in North Dakota to New York, were seriously shaken up and bruised.

### CONFERENCE ON SHIP SUBSIDY.

The President Will Entertain Several Advocates of Legislation.

Washington, Dec. 21.—The legislative outlook for ocean mail subsidy was discussed at a dinner at the White House last night, when President Taft entertained Senators Gallinger and Hale and Representatives Humphrey, Dwight and others at dinner. The president is very anxious that Congress at this session pass a measure by mail subsidy or other subvention adequate to guarantee the establishment and rapid development of an American merchant marine. The prospect for legislation at this session will be discussed.

## Parisian Sage

This Great Hair Grower Now Sold All Over America.

What do you think of the liberal proposition that the Gironi Mfg. Co. of Buffalo, N. Y., American makers of Parisian Sage, are putting up to the readers of The Times?

They know; they are absolutely certain that they have the only real hair grower, beautifier and dandruff germ destroyer on the market today, and knowing this they have requested the Red Cross Pharmacy to state to every reader of The Times and to every person living in Barre and vicinity that they guarantee Parisian Sage to remove every trace of dandruff; to stop falling hair and itching scalp, or money back.

And everyone who reads this important announcement should know that Parisian Sage makes hair grow, not only abundantly, but gives it that lustrous appearance that all desire.

Women will find Parisian Sage the most refreshing and ideal hair dressing, free from stickiness or grease. Large bottle 50 cents at the Red Cross Pharmacy and druggists everywhere. "I have used Parisian Sage for some time and think it has no equal as a hair beautifier and scalp cleanser. No more dandruff or faded hair, thanks to Parisian Sage."—Mrs. William Hoglund, Sault Ste. Marie, Mich., June 2, 1910.

## Morning Headache

Eat a light breakfast of easily digested food, then take a dose of Hood's Pills. This simple treatment usually clears up the head in an hour, and gives a feeling of health and strength all day.

Take Hood's Pills

VICTOR BERGER.

First Socialist Ever Elected to United States Congress.



### WASHLADY VOTE

BEATS BERGER

Socialist Congressman-Elect Opposed to Giving Water to Laundresses Gratis.

Milwaukee, Dec. 21.—Victor L. Berger, alderman-at-large and social democratic congressman-elect, was defeated by the socialist contingent in the city council when he opposed the passage of a measure which the city attorney has declared unconstitutional.

The measure was one to allow washerwomen to use city water free of charge. The socialist city attorney declared the measure unconstitutional, but the socialists wanted to pass it any way, and did so despite the objections of Berger. The council adopted seventeen resolutions asking for the passage by the legislature of a number of special Milwaukee bills allowing the city to engage in various enterprises at present barred by law.

The socialists, among other requests, want the authority to erect municipal hospitals, ice plants, packing houses, to conduct any public utility, and in general to have complete home rule granted to Milwaukee instead of the city being forced to ask legislative approval for every innovation proposed.

### COALITION MAJORITY 126.

Returns of Recent Election Campaign Now Complete.

London, Dec. 21.—The government party will have a coalition majority of 126 in the new parliament as the result of elections which closed Monday.

This is two more than the combined advantage over the unionists in the preceding parliament. The state of the parties is as follows: Unionists, 273; liberals, 271; Irish nationalists, 73; independent nationalists 11; labor members, 43.

The returns from the three constituencies, the polling of which brought to a close the general elections for the first parliament of King George, were announced yesterday.

James Gilhooly, who, as an O'Brienite, has long represented the west division of Cork county, was returned with a majority of 255, adding one to the independent nationalists' total. Gilhooly defeated D. O'Leary, nationalist.

In the south division of Kilkenny county, M. Keating, nationalist, was re-elected with a majority of 1978.

In Wick Burghs, R. Munro, the liberal candidate, was re-elected over the unionist, Sir A. Gignoid, by a majority of 211.

### ROAD'S VALUE \$600,000,000?

New Haven Figures of Professor Swain Will Show This, It Is Believed.

New Haven, Conn., Dec. 21.—Professor Swain at Harvard, who is examining the New Haven railroad system for the purpose of revaluation for a commission in Massachusetts, which will report to the legislature, was here yesterday making an inquiry into the physical properties of the road located in and about this city. To railroad officers Professor Swain expressed his surprise at the extent and resources of the system. The report must be filed by Dec. 31, so the work is almost completed. The valuation is expected to exceed that made by Mr. Stevens some time ago, as it goes into the investments and holdings of the company in subsidiary properties. The book value of the road as made up by the company's officers is something like \$425,000,000, and the expectation is that the revaluation will place the figures close to \$600,000,000.

### GALLAGHER TRIAL JAN. 3.

More Time Given for Alienists to Decide Whether He Is Sane.

New York, Dec. 21.—The trial of James J. Gallagher for the shooting of William Edwards, street cleaning commissioner, while the latter was trying to protect Mayor Gaynor, who was shot by Gallagher on Aug. 9, last, was postponed yesterday until Jan. 3. The postponement was on motion of Prosecutor Pierre P. Garven, who said that two of the physicians who had been called into the case by the state desired more time for the examination of the accused man to determine his mental condition. Justice Swayze announced that the court would proceed on Jan. 3 with a preliminary inquiry into the issue of insanity. "If this inquiry shows the man is sane," said the judge, "we will proceed with the trial."

### PILES CURED IN 6 TO 14 DAYS.

PAZO OINTMENT is guaranteed to cure any case of Itching, Bleeding or protruding piles in 6 to 14 days or money refunded. 50c.

## TARIFF WAR LOOMS NEAR

Taft Urged to Act Against Germany

### THE ONLY ALTERNATIVE.

It Is Said, National Humiliation—Case Includes More Than Potash Situation. Test of Efficacy of Tariff Law Clause on Discrimination.

Washington, Dec. 21.—Pressure upon President Taft to impose the maximum duties of the Payne act upon dutiable imports from Germany in retaliation for the imperial export tax upon potash exports has been so strong and insistent that the country may truly be said to be nearer a tariff war than ever before. It can be said on high authority that the proposition is being given "very serious consideration," nor is the advice to impose the surtax coming altogether from high protectionists. As a leading Republican senator said yesterday morning: "The law itself is on trial. We either must enforce it or we must abandon it and confess that it is good for nothing. If it cannot be invoked now, when we have a real grievance, how about the next time? In what position will the United States be left before the world if she fails to enforce a law enacted expressly to prevent just such discriminations as this?"

This doctrine, fully as much as the intrinsic merits of the potash case, is the material factor in the present situation. The cabinet has held one meeting devoted exclusively to a discussion of the potash controversy, and the subject can hardly be avoided at the meeting. It is definitely established that the lawyers of the administration and the tariff experts have been compelled to construe the German action as "unduly discriminatory" within the meaning of the Payne law. The subject has been studied from all points of view, and no other conclusion is now confronted with the definite question whether or not to act, with the possibility of a disastrous tariff war on the one side and of national humiliation on the other. The hint from the German embassy that the possibilities of diplomacy have been exhausted and the case is clearly in the field of the executive has not been lost upon the advisers of President Taft. To say that the situation is acute is to state mildly a fact of enormous importance to the country. In view of recent developments, the chief fear of avoiding a serious outcome would appear to lie in the fact that the maximum duties will not become operative for ninety days after the issuance of the president's proclamation. Within that time Germany would have time to change her policy, should she desire.

### DEFICIENCY BILL FOR BILLION.

The House Agrees to Senate Amendments to the Measure.

Washington, Dec. 21.—The House of Representatives agreed to the Senate amendments to the urgent deficiency bill. The bill as amended carries an appropriation of a little more than \$1,000,000.

### RACE FOR PANAMA EXPOSITION.

Kahon of California Takes Novel Step in Behalf of San Francisco.

Washington, Dec. 21.—The race between San Francisco and New Orleans to secure government recognition as the place where the Panama canal exposition should be held, came into brief limelight in the House of Representatives yesterday. Representative Kahon of California, to show, as he declared, that "San Francisco does not ask any appropriation from Congress to aid the exposition," asked permission to have the bill which he introduced in this connection withdrawn from the committee which had it in charge and laid upon the table. This meant a practical killing of the bill. There was objection to Mr. Kahon's request, for he said that he had accomplished his purpose just the same.

### NOT AGAINST REVISION

But Against a Change at the Present Time, Says Duncan.

The figures forwarded to headquarters expressive of the views of our members on the Helena branch proposition to revise our constitution and the Quincy substitute to defer action, are conclusive that our members desire that our present constitution be given a fair trial before another change be made. The vote was strongly against the Helena proposition and as pronouncedly in favor of the Quincy substitute. In our opinion this does not mean that our members have recorded a preference against next revising by a convention, but instead that a revision of any kind at the present time is not desirable. This expression of confidence in the rules governing our collective action, can only be construed to mean that those who would trifle with our general laws are not in accord with the spirit which animates a great majority of our members. It proves that constitutional provisions are not made to be ignored, or even lightly treated, but instead, that a wholesome respect must be paid to regulation laid down for our guidance.—Granite Cutters' Journal.

### MAY LEAVE QUINCY.

Headquarters of Granite Cutters' International Association.

That there is the possibility of the removal of the headquarters of the Granite Cutters' International association from Quincy, Mass., is the belief in Quincy, according to The Ledger of that city, which says:

"There is a possibility that the headquarters of the Granite Cutters' National union, which have been located in Quincy for a number of years, may be removed to some other granite center after the first of May. Under the new constitution of the union a vote on the future location of headquarters must be taken in May, 1911. Under this same constitution, certain conditions must exist in the city where the headquarters are located. It is understood that Quincy does not meet all of these conditions at the present time."

## LORIMER'S WAY NOT CLEAR

Senate May Show Formidable Opposition

### WILL SEEK DELAY TO ALLOW

Complete Consideration—Committee Is Criticized for Concealing Findings. No Member Willing to Make a Minority Report.

Washington, Dec. 21.—What may prove formidable opposition to the adoption of any report to the Senate for the exoneration of Senator Lorimer as the result of the investigation of charges of bribery in connection with his election by the Illinois legislature to succeed Senator Hopkins is said to have developed on the Senate floor yesterday. Several senators are declared to have agreed that they would compel a postponement of the whole subject until after the holiday recess, in order that all members might have an opportunity to digest the testimony, as well as the report, of the committee on privileges and elections.

Chairman Burrows called the committee on privileges and elections together yesterday and soon after the adjournment it was reported that he had been authorized to report the Lorimer matter to the Senate in accordance with the findings of the subcommittee. Great dissatisfaction is said to have been expressed because of the failure of the committee to have printed the findings of the subcommittee. It is asserted that there never has been an investigation in which such diligent efforts have been made to keep the proceedings secret. According to authoritative information, the subcommittee was a unit in declaring that there had been no evidence that Senator Lorimer had been involved in any manner in purchasing votes of individual members of the legislature, but there is some difference of opinion as to what would have been the result if all of the votes against which there was taint had been eliminated. Senator Frazier, however, has said that he did not agree to exoneration of the senator.

It developed yesterday also that some members of the full committee believed that the subcommittee should have reported merely whether bribery had been resorted to in the election in which Mr. Lorimer was successful, and that the instructions given by the Senate in the resolution authorizing the investigation had not called for a report of exoneration for Mr. Lorimer. Regardless of this fact, no one could be found who was willing to bring in a minority report. Senators Frazier and Heyburn, who were members of the subcommittee, filed individual statements, which dealt wholly with the testimony involving members of the Illinois legislature. There were 202 votes cast on the ballot on which Lorimer was elected. Of these, he received 108 and opposition candidates 94 votes.

### 3% PER CENT. GUARANTEE.

Terms of Western Union and Cable Company Deal.

London, Dec. 21.—The provisional agreement for the amalgamation of the Western Union Telegraph company and the Anglo-American Cable company stipulates that the former will give the cable company an unconditional guarantee of a 3% per cent. dividend in perpetuity on the total capital of \$35,000,000.

### UMPIRES MUST BE BACKED UP

San Johnson Scorns Idea That Majority of Owners Could Drop an Official.

Chicago, Dec. 21.—"When club owners of a baseball league decide to pass on the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league, at least, who would cheapen himself by interfering in either picking or retaining the umpires. That function belongs to the head of the league and if he isn't able to handle such matters it is time for the fitness or unfitness of the umpires it is time for a change. An owner would stultify himself were he to dictate how the umpires should be handled and I do not think there is a single owner in the American league